

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 16, 19-20, and 24-40 are pending in this case.

Applicants greatly appreciate the time that the Examiner spent discussing this case in a telephone interview on February 23, 2006. The telephone interview greatly helped to clarify that the cited art does not teach or suggest Applicants claimed invention, namely a mobile node attached to a *mobile gateway router* maintaining a binding update list while in a *home* network. The Applicants were pleased to note that the Examiner agreed that the prior art did not teach such limitations. As such, Applicants have amended independent Claim 16 to clarify that Applicants' claimed invention requires these limitations. Further, since such limitations are not taught by the cited art, Applicants feel that the rejection is unsupported by the art and should be withdrawn. Applicants look forward to the early allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Intellectual Property Section
Law Department
1303 E. Algonquin Road, Floor 3
Schaumburg, IL 60196
Customer Number: 22917

By: 

Indira Saladi
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,759
Telephone: 847-576-6735
Fax No.: 847-576-0721
Indira.Saladi@motorola.com